

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,448	12/12/2003	Que Thuy Tran	7324-US	9695
. 75	90 03/28/2006		EXAM	INER
Thomas F. Lei	nihan		HOLLINGTON, JERMELE M	
TEKTRONIX, I M/S 50-LAW	INC.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 500			2829	
Beaverton, OR	97077-0001		DATE MAILED: 03/28/2006	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	f('/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/734,448	TRAN ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jermele M. Hollington	2829					
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address.					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply wincoming and the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wincoming the period for reply wincoming the period for reply wincoming the period patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- nication. tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON III, by statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>13 March 2006</u> .		•				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims		•					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8-14</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected.						
•	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.	••					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b) objected to □	by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objecti							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including to the control of the contr	•						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	or foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority d	ocuments have been received.		•				
2. Certified copies of the priority d		pplication No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of	f the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage	•				
application from the Internation	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not	received.					
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT 	· —	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or P Paper No(s)/Mail Date		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2829

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

1. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

2. Claims 1 and 4 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and 14-15 of copending Application No. 10/323,503. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because it would have been obvious to include external trigger input with each of the test and measurement instrument of the this application since the both instrument in this case include in Fig. 1 a trigger circuit inside the instrument.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The following is the relationship between both applications: claim 1 of this application is similar to claim 1 of U.S. Application No. 10/323,503 and claim 4 of this application is similar to claims 14 and 15 of U.S. Application No. 10/323,503.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claim 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tan et al (6812688).

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application. Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

Regarding claim 1, Tan et al disclose [see Fig. 1] a system for triggering a plurality of test and measurement instruments (acquisition units 120₁ and 120₂) substantially simultaneously, comprising: a first test and measurement instrument (acquisition unit 120₁) having an input (left side of 120) for receiving a signal under test (DATA1), and a transceiver (combination of 132, 134, 136 and 138) for developing a trigger enable signal (AS₁), and receiving a combined trigger signal (T), a second test and measurement instrument (acquisition unit 120₂) having an input (left side of 120) for receiving a signal under test (DATA2), a transceiver (combination of 132, 134, 136 and 138) for developing a trigger enable signal (AS₂), and receiving a combined trigger

Art Unit: 2829

signal (T), and circuitry (combination of processing and display unit 130 and trigger circuit 140) for logically combining said trigger enable signals (AS1 and AS2) of said first and second test and measurement instruments (120₁ and 120₂) to generate said combined trigger signal (T), the circuitry (130 and 140) for combining having a first and second transceivers (132, 134, 136 and 138) for receiving said trigger enable signals (AS1 and AS2) and transmitting said combined trigger signal (T); wherein each of said test and measurement instruments (120₁ and 120₂) is coupled to said circuitry (130 and 140) for combining via a cable, said trigger enable signal (AS1 and AS2) and said combined trigger signal (T) being conveyed in mutually opposite directions through said cable; and said first and second test and measurement instruments (1201 and 1202) acquire data samples (DATA1 and DATA2) of said signals under test (DATA1 and DATA2) in response to said combined trigger signal (T).

Regarding claim 2, Tan et al disclose said transceivers (132, 134, 136 and 138) comprise: a series combination of a variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138), a switch (switch 142) and a constant current source (support circuits 320 in fig. 3); wherein: a junction of said variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138) and said switch (142) is adapted to effect transmission of at least one of said trigger enabled signal (AS1 and AS2) and said combined trigger signal (T).

Regarding claim 3, Tan et al disclose said first and second test and measurement wherein the junction of said variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138) and said switch (142) is monitored to effect reception of at least one of said trigger enabled signal (AS1 And AS2) and said combined trigger signal (T).

Art Unit: 2829

Regarding claim 4, Tan et al disclose [see Fig. 1] a system comprising: a plurality of signal acquisition devices (acquisition units 120₁ and 120₂), each of said signal acquisition devices (1201 and 1202) comprising an event decoder [not number but see col. 2, lines 63-65 and col. 4, lines 7-9], for monitoring at least one respective input signal (DATA1 and DATA2) to determine whether a logical triggering event has occurred, and a transceiver (processing and display controller 130), for transmitting an indicium of the occurrence of said logical triggering event and for receiving a trigger signal (AS1 and AS2), and a trigger controller (trigger circuit 140), comprising a plurality of transceivers (144) operative to receive said logical triggering event indicia transmit said trigger signal (T), and a logical processing device (processing controller 130) for combining said logical triggering event (AS1 And AS2) indicia to produce therefrom said trigger signal (T).

Regarding claim 5, Tan et al disclose said transceivers (132, 134, 136 and 138) comprise: a series combination of a variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138), a switch (switch 142) and a constant current source (input unit 160); wherein: the junction of said variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138) and said switch (142) is adapted to effect transmission of at least one of said trigger enabled signal (AS1 and AS2) and said combined trigger signal (T).

Regarding claim 6, Tan et al disclose the junction of said variable impedance device (132, 134, 136 and 138) and said switch (142) is monitored to effect reception of said triggering signal (T).

Regarding claim 7, Tan et al disclose said constant energy source (160) comprises a constant current source; and said variable impedance device comprises a transistor (144).

Conclusion

- 5. Applicant's arguments filed March 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. a) The applicant states: "Accordingly, co-pending Application No. 10/323,503 should properly be terminally disclaimed, not the present application. In view of the submission of the Terminal Disclaimer in co-pending Application No. 10/323,503, Applicants submit that the grounds for the rejection have been overcome, and respectfully request that the provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection be withdrawn. A copy of the Terminal Disclaimer submitted in co-pending Application No. 10/323,503 is attached hereto."

In view of the above comments, although Patent Application No. 10/353,503 has a terminal disclaimer filed in the case, this does not over come the double patenting rejection in this application. Unless the application above is already a patent, the examiner believes both applications have to have a terminal disclaimer filed. Therefore, the examiner will not withdraw the double patenting rejection.

b) The applicant states: "The Examiner correctly states that Tan discusses a system: "FIG. 1 depicts a high-level block diagram of a signal acquisition system according to an embodiment of the present invention.

Specifically, the system 100 of FIG. 1..." (column 2, lines 47-49, emphasis added) However, Applicants urge the Examiner to read the remainder of the Tan's sentence: "...the system 100 of FIG. 1 depicts portions of a digital storage oscilloscope..." (emphasis added) That is, Tan is describing one oscilloscope. Applicants submit that Tan's usage of the term system is consistent with the ordinary, natural usage of the term, and that the Examiner's reading of the term is too narrow. Consider that in ordinary language a "microprocessor" may be described as a "system" just as the motherboard to which it is soldered and the personal computer into which the motherboard is installed may also be described as "systems." A careful reading of the context reveals that Tan's system is a test and measurement instrument and Applicants' system is a plurality of test and measurement instruments."

Art Unit: 2829

In response to the above arguments, first, the claimed language in claim 1 states: "A system for triggering a plurality of test and measurement instruments..." In col. 2 of Tan, it states that system 100, which is, as stated above, a signal acquisition system. This system 100 comprises a first acquisition unit 120₁ and a second acquisition unit 120₂, which examiner uses as the multiple test and measurement instruments. Also, base on the claim language, applicants' system is not "a plurality of test and measurement instruments" but only uses the system to trigger a plurality of test and measurement instruments. Therefore, the examiner believes the Tan reference still reads on the claimed invention.

c) The applicant states: "Accordingly, regarding claim 1, Tan does not disclose a plurality of test and measurement instruments (as the Examiner suggests) but rather a single test and measurement instrument 100 having multiple analog input channels (column 2, lines 49-55), each channel having an acquisition unit 120 for acquiring digitized samples. (column 2, lines 63-65) Signal T is not a "combined trigger signal" (as the Examiner suggests) but rather the output of the test and measurement instrument's own conventional trigger circuit 140. (column 3, lines 39-43) Signals AS1 and AS2 are not "trigger enable signals" (as the Examiner suggests) but rather acquired sample streams. (column 3, lines 1-2)"

In response to the above argument, as stated in the last response above, base on the claim language, applicants' system is not "a plurality of test and measurement instruments" but only uses the system to trigger a plurality of test and measurement instruments. Regarding signal T and signals AS1 and AS2, the argument is moot base on the new amendment of the claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jermele M. Hollington whose telephone number is (571) 272-1960. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00-4:30 EST) First Friday Off.

Art Unit: 2829

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wael Fahmy can be reached on (517) 272-1705. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jermele M. Hollington Primary Examiner Art Unit 2829

JMH March 20, 2006